

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/501,693	10/20/2004	Andreas Kleineidam	11694/04356	4426		
27483	7590 04/21/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
CALFEE, HALTER & GRISWOLD, LLP 800 SUPERIOR AVENUE			DILLON JR, JOSEPH A			
SUITE 1400	JR AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CLEVELANI	O, OH 44114		3651			
			DATE MAILED: 04/21/200	6		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/501,	693	KLEINEIDAM ET	KLEINEIDAM ET AL.			
		Examin	er	Art Unit				
			A. Dillon, Jr.	3651				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears on t	he cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIN asions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after the department of the provided patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF T or CFR 1.136(a). In no ection. ory period will apply and to by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNI event, however, may a will expire SIX (6) MOI pplication to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on 06 February 2	006					
·	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	<i>,</i> —							
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	·		,				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8,28-55 and 57-67</u> is/are pending in the application.								
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>48-55 and 57-67</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	S) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	⊠ Claim(s) <u>8 and 28-47</u> is/are rejected.							
·								
8)[☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority u	inder 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
ŕ	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the Internationa	l Bureau (PCT R	ule 17.2(a)).					
* (See the attached detailed Office action f	or a list of the ce	rtified copies no	t received.				
Attachmer			_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
3) 🔲 Infor	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT role) Mail Date			Informal Patent Application (P	TO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/501,693

Art Unit: 3651

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. Applicant's election without traverse of 2/6/06 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 8, 28-37, 39-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With regard to claim(s) 8, line(s) 2, "alternately connected to" can be taken as a method step when two structural connections are what is actually meant.

With regard to claim(s) 40, "path or conveyance route" appear to be synonyms.

This calls into question the scope of what is being claimed.

Claims 39-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted elements are: a prime mover and structural particulars allowing the elastic member(s) to function as valves.

With regard to claim(s) 42-43, these claim(s) have insufficient structure and too much action for apparatus claim(s); suggesting method claim(s) dependent from apparatus claim(s).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3651

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Page 3

5. Claims 8, 28, 33-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Vogt (3,260,285).

Vogt (3,260,285) disclose(s):

- A pneumatic conveyance device, Figure(s) 1 & 2, for powdered material;
- said device connected to a reservoir 10 & delivery line(s) 16;
- A chamber 14 comprising a wall having a rigid, hollow, cylindrical element 43
 comprising a gas-permeable material forming a filter, column 4, line(s) 60;
- A source of negative pressure 35, 49 & 56;
- A source of pressurized gas 34, 48 & 55;
- A valve 33, 47 & 54 for admitting gas to a radial opening;
- Material entering & exiting the chamber at axial ends;
- A pinching inlet valve 51;
- A pinching outlet valve 19.

The applicant is to note, an intended use clause, "for pneumatic conveyance", "can be", "to force" found in an apparatus claim is not afforded the effect of a distinguishing limitation unless there is associated structure. Otherwise, the applicant is reciting a mere possibility.

Further, a discharging of material inherently cleans the chamber as defined by the applicant's claim language.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 3651

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 8, 28-29, 33-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vogt (3,260,285) in view of Kai et al. (6,663,325).

With regard to claim(s) 29, 46-47; Vogt (3,260,285) lack(s) an element that is porous & is silent on materials of construction of same.

Kai et al. (6,663,325) teach(es) a sintered, plastic porous element, column 6, first paragraph.

As the applicant has failed to show criticality or unexpected results, a pore size less than 5 micron is considered to be a matter of design choice.

It would have been obvious to modify Vogt (3,260,285) to substitute a sintered, plastic porous element in order to increase efficiency as taught by Kai et al. (6,663,325).

Conclusion

- 8. Claims 30-32 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Ogawa (JP 0971325) is particularly relevant.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph A. Dillon, Jr. whose telephone number is

Art Unit: 3651

(571)272-6913. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5:30, every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Crawford can be reached on (571)272-6911. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER